

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/083,067	02/26/2002	John M. Cole	KOL-10-5310-D1	4680	
DRIGGS, LUCAS, BRUBAKER & HOGG CO. L.P.A DEPT. KOL			EXAMINER KORNAKOV, MICHAIL		
8522 EAST AVENUE MENTOR, OH 44060			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1746		
			DATE MAILED: 09/04/2003	<b>}</b>	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•			(9)			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	1			
	10/083,067	COLE ET AL.	1/			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	<b>/</b>			
	Michael Komakov	1746				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with	h the correspondence a	ddress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY	/ IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MC	NTH(S) FROM				
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period verailure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT, cause the application to become ABA	oly be timely filed (30) days will be considered time HS from the mailing date of this of NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	r					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 F	ebruary 2002 .					
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ Th	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowards closed in accordance with the practice under the condition for allowards.	ance except for formal matt <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D	ers, prosecution as to tl . 11, 453 O.G. 213.	ne merits is			
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10,23-28,30-40 and 43-45</u> is/are pe	• ,,					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray	vn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10,23-28,30-40 and 43-45</u> are subje Application Papers	ect to restriction and/or elec	tion requirement.	•			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accept		e Evaminer				
	•					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.		•			
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Ap	plication No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bur	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		Stage			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the standard of the st						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic		•	il application).			
<ul> <li>a)  The translation of the foreign language pro</li> <li>15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)	- processing sales of the total S					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of In	ummary (PTO-413) Paper No formal Patent Application (PT				

Application/Control Number: 10/083,067 Page 2

Art Unit: 1746

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claims 1-10, 30, 31, 40, 43 drawn to a system for conditioning scale, classified in class 134, subclass 56R.
  - II. Claims 23-28, drawn to an aqueous solution, classified in class 510 or in class 252, subclasses varies.
  - III. Claims 32-39, 44, 45, drawn to another system for conditioning scale, classified in class 134, subclass 56R.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 1. Inventions of Group I and Group II are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the invention of group I recites the structural limitations of scale conditioning system and the invention of group II is concerned with composition of aqueous solution. Thus, the inventions are not disclosed as being used or capable of use together and they have different functions.
- 2. Inventions of Group III and Group II are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04,

Application/Control Number: 10/083,067

Art Unit: 1746

MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the invention of group III recites the structural limitations of scale conditioning system and the invention of group II is concerned with composition of aqueous solution. Thus, the inventions are not disclosed as being used or capable of use together and they have different functions.

Page 3

- 3. Inventions of Group III and Group I are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because it does not require to control the flow individually from each reservoir to at least one nozzle, it does not require to dispose at least one nozzle on each side of the strip, it does not require the use of surface coverage analyzer, adjacent to at least one nozzle. The subcombination has separate utility such as apparatus for coating or painting of metal surfaces.
- 4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 5. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Application/Control Number: 10/083,067 Page 4

Art Unit: 1746

6. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group II is not required for Group I or III, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

2. A telephone call was made to Mr. W. Hogg, esq., on 08/26/2003 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

3. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Kornakov whose telephone number is (703) 305-0400. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00am - 5:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Randy Gulakowski can be reached on (703) 308-4333. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/083,067

Art Unit: 1746

Page 5

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308 2450.

M. RODNAKOV

Michael Kornakov Examiner Art Unit 1746